A 30-year-old man presented to his primary care physician with the complaint of a groin pull. He admitted to having worsening, intermittent, dull aching in his left testis for several years. His medical history and review of symptoms were otherwise noncontributory. He was sent for an ultrasound of the scrotum, which showed a normal testis, but a left varicocele. He was referred to a urologic surgeon who gave him the option of conservative management or varicocelectomy. The patient desired definitive therapy, but had a very active lifestyle and wanted to avoid the 2- to 4-week recovery period associated with surgery. He then referred himself to our interventional radiology clinic.

A physical examination revealed that he was a healthy 30-year-old man with a grade II-III left varicocele. He agreed to varicocele embolization and informed consent was obtained. The patient’s scrotum was shielded with lead, and mild intravenous sedation was initiated. A 7-F vascular sheath was placed, and a 7-F Gonadal Curve guide catheter (Cordis Corporation, Warren, NJ) was passed from a right femoral approach into the left renal vein. A renal venogram with a Valsalva maneuver was positive for reflux into the left internal spermatic vein (ISV), indicating a left varicocele (Figure 1A).

The ISV was catheterized with a 5-F, 100-cm Glide catheter (Terumo Medical Corporation, Somerset, NJ) and selective venography showed a valveless ISV with retrograde flow into the scrotum (the scrotum itself was not directly visualized in order to limit the gonadal dose). In the inguinal region, the vein divided into two main channels, with tiny, faintly seen parallel branches noted (Figure 1B).

The two main branches were occluded with use of 0.35-inch, 6-mm X 14-cm Nester embolization coils (Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN). After embolization, an occlusion venogram was obtained, which showed filling of a previously unseen separate parallel collateral venous system lateral to the ISV (Figure 2A) connecting...
with a left colic retroperitoneal vein, and then up to veins in the left renal hilum.

If not occluded, this collateral network would certainly enlarge and cause recurrence of the varicocele over time because it is also incompetent (valveless). The colic vein represents a portal-systemic venous anastomosis and cannot be reached from the colon direction. It connects to the ISV, but the flow direction is toward the ISV with a semicompetent venous valve at the junction in this patient.

With the use of a 130-cm Renegade Hi-Flo microcatheter (Boston Scientific Corporation, Natick, MA) and a Fathom 16 guidewire (Boston Scientific), an attempt was made to pass the valve and enter the colic vein system. The microcatheter was finally forced through the valve in a retrograde manner and then passed down into the collateral feeder (Figure 2B).

The feeder was then embolized with 1 mL of sodium tetradecyl sulfate 3% foam (Sotradecol, AngioDynamics, Queensbury, NY). Foam was created by mixing 2 mL of Sotradecol and 4 mL of room air. The vessel was then occluded using one 0.18-inch, 3-mm X 14-cm platinum MicroNester coil (Cook Medical) (Figure 2C). Care was taken not to allow any sclerosant to enter the colic vein. The colic vein was then embolized with one 0.35-inch, 6-mm X 14-cm MicroNester coil. The main ISV trunk was embolized with another nest of 0.35-inch MicroNester coils after injection of 3 mL of 3% Sotradecol foam inferiorly (Figure 2D).

Final venography showed no reflux to the varicocele. The catheters were removed and the patient was observed for 4 hours and then sent home. The patient underwent follow-up evaluation 2 weeks later. The aching pain he had been experiencing was completely resolved. Upon physical examination, the varicocele was no longer palpable.

“Advantages of transcatheter repair include no need for general anesthesia, incisions, or sutures, and a more rapid resumption of normal activities.”

DISCUSSION

Male varicocele, the formation of varicose veins in the scrotum, has been known since the first century. Tulloc described surgical correction in 1952, and varicocele embolization has been performed using various methods for approximately 30 years.1-3 Most commonly occurring on the left (perhaps due to “nutcracker syndrome” pressure of the superior mesenteric artery on the left renal vein), varicocele is usually caused by failure of the valves in the ISV.4 Various etiologies also include other incompetent veins, such as the external spermatic vein or the cremasteric vein. Although perhaps 10% of men in America may have a varicocele, it is often an
asymptomatic condition requiring no treatment. However, varicocele may cause pain, testicular atrophy, or be associated with male factor infertility; the three most common reasons for varicocele repair. Atrophy may be detected in adolescent boys with large varicoceles. Repair can usually reverse atrophy.5,6

Varicocele repair in infertility is controversial and has conflicting citations.7 One meta-analysis cites an odds ratio for pregnancy in treated patients at 2.87 over non-treated controls, another reports an odds ratio of only 1:1 (varicocele repair worthless).8,9 It is accepted, however, that varicocele is more common in infertile couples than couples with no fertility problems, and that repair improves semen analysis and testosterone levels.10-12

Our patient reported a dull, aching pain, which is the most common type caused by varicocele. Because reflux of blood pressure into the pampiniform plexus from an incompetent ISV is the usual cause, therapy focuses on blocking that vein and diverting flow into other competent veins in the pelvis. Surgical repair involves ligation of the ISV, either in its retroperitoneal course (high ligation) at the inguinal level, or via a subinguinal microsurgical technique. Advantages of transcatheter repair include no need for general anesthesia, incisions, or sutures, and a more rapid resumption of normal activities.

However, there are other reasons for patients to choose embolization. In a study from the Cleveland Clinic, Dewire et al allowed patients to choose embolization or surgical repair of their varicoceles. The two groups had equal outcomes, but complete recovery was on average 2 days for embolization versus 2 to 3 weeks for surgery. No embolization patient stayed overnight. All infections occurred in the surgery group and one surgical patient lost a testis. Embolization was also less expensive.13 In a study of patients who had undergone both varicocele surgery and embolization, Fenely et al found that all preferred embolization.14 Many studies have shown surgery and embolization to have equivalent outcomes.15-17 Bilateral varicoceles can be successfully treated by embolization in one session.

Figure 3. A left varicocele arising from left renal hilar collaterals. The ISV is not incompetent.

Figure 4. A multichannel ISV causing a varicocele.
using one venipuncture, whereas surgery requires two separate incisions.

Some earlier studies of varicocele embolization reported a relatively high technical failure rate.14,18 These findings have been used by some to argue that the much more invasive subinguinal microsurgery is the procedure of choice.19 The cause of the earlier technical failures appears to have been the inability of previously available equipment to occlude aberrant or anomalous collateral veins causing varicoceles. With a better understanding of aberrant anatomy and experience (Figure 3), technical failures and recurrences for transcatheter occlusion are low.15,20,21

“Embolization of varicocele is as effective as surgery, is safer, and has other advantages over surgery.”

Sclerosing agents have been used for years to treat varicoceles, either alone or as an adjunct to coil embolization.20-23 It is well known that tiny side branches of the ISV may be missed at surgery or may fail to be coil embolized and enlarge over time to cause recurrence. Varicoceles may also be caused by multichannel ISVs (Figure 4).

The careful use of a liquid or foam sclerosing agent with embolization may allow occlusion of these small multiple channels and a higher success rate.20,24,25 Foam sclerotherapy must be used carefully by experienced operators in open abdominal veins because destruction of the veins injected is rapid and severe complications of foam sclerotherapy have been described.25-27

CONCLUSION

Using a comprehensive knowledge of variant anatomy, embolization and sclerotherapy can be used to successfully treat almost any varicocele with normal or aberrant collateral supply. Embolization of varicocele is as effective as surgery, is safer, and has other advantages over surgery. Although this patient was not offered the option of embolization by his urologist and ‘self-referred’ to interventional radiology, we hope that in the future more patients will be given the option of nonsurgical treatment.
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